

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,011	05/14/2001	Martin J. Ratcliffe	00-323 1496.00121	1191
7590 06/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Law Department			ROSARIO, DENNIS	
LSI Logic Corp	oration		<del></del>	
M/S D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1551 McCarthy Boulevard			2624	
Milpitas, CA 95035			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent (	ınder
	09/855,011	RATCLIFFE, MARTIN J.	
		Art Unit	
	Bhavesh M. Mehta	2624	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		
Notice of Panel D	ecision from Pro	e-Appeal Brief	Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>5/12/2006</u>.

	reason(s):	
	<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request.</li> <li>☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate.</li> <li>☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> </ul>	
	The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.	
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicar is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appea brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	it I he
	The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
	3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.	
	4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.	
All	participants:	
(1)	Bhavesh M. Mehta. (3)	
(2)	Dennis Rosario. (4) BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
	SUPERVISORY FAILURE 2600 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	